

No. M-42/LA-IV/T-34/3938.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely constructing Hissar Miran road to Village Dubeta via Bura it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers, for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana Public Works Department, Building and Road Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bawani Khera	Bura	7.83	24 38/—, 25, 39/21 to 25 2 40/21 to 25/97 44/16, 17, 24, 25 21 21 45/16 to 20, —, —, 22 to 25 1 2 40/20, 21
Do	Do	Dubeta	2.71	33/16 to 19, 22 to 25 34/16 to 25

No. M-42/LA-IV/T-30/3943.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, Constructing Dinod Depsar Road in Bhiwani District, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below, is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana, is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of, any land in the said locality may within thirty days of publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P.W.D. B. and R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Dinod	K. M. 8.08	K. M. 238 13, 13, 17, 18, 24, 25 1 2 249 1, 10, 11, 12, 18, 19, 19, 22, 23 1 1 2

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Dhod canold	K. M. 8-08 canold	<div>250</div> <div>5, 5, 6</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>283</div> <div>3, 4, 7 7 14, 15 15 16</div> <div>1 2 1 2</div> <div>284 324</div> <div>20 20 21, 22 5, 6</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>290</div> <div>2, 3, 7, 8, 13, 14, 16, 17 17 24, 25</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>325</div> <div>10, 11, 17, 18, 19, 24, 25</div> <div>326</div> <div>21</div>
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Deosar	8.12	<div>26</div> <div>1 1 2, 9, 10, 8, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 25</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>25</div> <div>21 21 22</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>66</div> <div>1, 2 2 3, 7, 8 8 13, 14, 15, 16</div> <div>1 2 1 2</div> <div>65</div> <div>11, 20, 24, 22, 430</div> <div>74</div> <div>11, 20, 19, 22, 23 23 24</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>73</div> <div>2, 7 7 6 6</div> <div>1 2 1 2 15</div> <div>100</div> <div>3, 4 4 5, 6,</div> <div>1 2</div> <div>4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 474</div>
16.30 acres				

No. M 42/LAIV/T-31/3948.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that Land is likely to be taken by Government at Public expense for a public purpose, namely, constructing Sahrwa Miran road to village Bada Rangran in Bhiwani District.

It is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D. B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks	
Bhiwani	Bhiwani Khera	Bharry	4.85	21	20
				23, 24, 25	21, 22, 23, 24, 25
				16	19
				22	
				21	21/1, 21/2, 22, 23
				3/1, 3/2, 4, 5	
				23	24
				1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3
				75 to 85, 56-57, 58, 60, 61, 55.	
				18	17
				24, 25	21, 22, 23, 24, 25,
				27	26
				1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
				4, 5.	
		Bada Rangran	1.62	104	107
		Total	6.47	21	25, 1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 12/2, 13, 14, 16, 17
				123	
				1	

No. M42/LA-IV/T-32/3960.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing Bidwan, Siwanch approach road, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers, for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani		Bidhwan	9.67	134, 135, 57, 58, 56, 63, 54, 71, 136, 51, 50, 48, 37, 38, 437/76, 438/76
		Siwanch	9.31	80, 81, 82, 90, 91, 93, 94, 89, 88, 149, 150, 110, 111, 115, 121, 122, 124, 125, 118
Total			18.98	

No. M42/LA-IV/T-33/3965.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that the land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing a link road from Rohtak-Bhiwani road to village Sch. Pahlawas, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Pahlawas	4.09	186 5, 6, 15, 16, 25 187 1, 10, 11, 20, 21 177 5, 6, 15, 16, 25 176 390, 391, 312, 318, 322 11-20 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599 16-25/366, 367, 234

No. M-42/LA-IV/T-35/3970.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that Land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, Constructing Manphara chahar Kalan Road to Village Brahlu Via Nakipur and Sehar (Section Sahar to Dhana Jogi) in Bhiwani District, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Loharu	Dhana Jogi	0.70	44 <div style="text-align: right;"> $\frac{14}{1}, \frac{14}{2}, \frac{18}{1}, \frac{18}{2}, 23, 24$ </div> 71, 109, 77, 107, 108
Do	Do	Sehar	1.71	5 <div style="text-align: right;"> $\frac{12}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{8}{2}, \frac{8}{1}, 12, 16, 19, \frac{22}{1}$ </div> 230, 231, 232, 276, 272, 271, 270, 269, 268, 267, $\frac{22}{14}, \frac{16}{1}, \frac{16}{2}, 223, 225,$ 225, 226, $\frac{28}{4}, \frac{223}{7}, 224$
Total			2.41	

No. M-42/LA-IV/T-36/3975.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing of Bhiwani-Loharu Road to Village Malawas Pati Kohar with a link to village Kasumbhi (Section Bhiwani-Loharu Road to Village Malawas Pati Kohar), it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by the section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of publication of this notification file an objection, in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P. W. D., B. & R., Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in Acres	Remarks
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Deosor	10.30	<div>320</div> <div>21, 22, 23</div> <div>317</div> <div>21, 22, 23, 24, $\frac{25}{2}$</div> <div>335</div> <div>3, 4, 5, 9, $\frac{11}{1}$, $\frac{11}{2}$, 12, 490</div> <div>334</div> <div>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</div> <div>332</div> <div>1, 2, 3, 4, $\frac{5}{1}$, $\frac{5}{2}$, $\frac{5}{3}$</div> <div>336</div> <div>1, 2, 3, $\frac{4}{1}$, $\frac{4}{2}$, 5</div> <div>318</div> <div>21, 22, 23, 24, $\frac{25}{1}$, $\frac{25}{2}$, $\frac{25}{3}$</div>
Do	Do	Malawas Deosor	8.10	<div>9</div> <div>3, $\frac{4}{1}$, $\frac{4}{2}$, $\frac{6}{1}$, $\frac{6}{2}$, 7, 9, 5, $\frac{7}{1}$, $\frac{8}{2}$</div> <div>10</div> <div>$\frac{10}{1}$, $\frac{10}{2}$, 9, 12, 8, 13, $\frac{14}{1}$, $\frac{14}{2}$, 15, 16, 62, 63</div> <div>11</div> <div>11, 12, $\frac{18}{1}$, $\frac{18}{2}$, 19, 20, $\frac{17}{1}$, $\frac{17}{2}$, 16, 24, 25</div> <div>12</div> <div>21, $\frac{21}{1}$, $\frac{22}{2}$, $\frac{22}{1}$, $\frac{22}{2}$, 23, 24, 36</div>

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in Acres	Remarks
				17
				$\frac{4}{1}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{5}{1}, \frac{5}{2}$
				16
				$1, 10, 2, 9, \frac{8}{1}, \frac{8}{2}, 7, 6, 14$
				15
				$10, \frac{44}{1}, \frac{44}{2}, 54, 55, 56, 68, 43$
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Malawas Kohar	5.69	10
				$\frac{21}{1}, \frac{23}{2}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{2}{2}, 3$
				11
				$18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, \frac{24}{1}, \frac{24}{2}$
				12
				$\frac{11}{1}, \frac{11}{2}, \frac{12}{1}, \frac{12}{2}, 13, 14, \frac{16}{1}, \frac{16}{2}, 17, 18$
				13
				$1, 2, 6, 7, \frac{8}{1}, \frac{8}{2}, 9, 10, 14, 15$
				14
				$\frac{4}{1}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{5}{1}, \frac{5}{2}$
		Total	24.09	

(Sd)

Superintending Engineer.

Bhiwani Circle, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Bhiwani.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PUBLIC HEALTH BRANCH

The 9th August, 1974

No. 13185.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely providing water supply scheme Malah group of villages, Tehsil Nuh, District Gurgaon, it is hereby notified that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking, with their servants and workmen, to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested in the above land who has any objection to the acquisition thereof may, within thirty days after the date on which public notice of the substance of this notification, is given in the locality file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Building and Road Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Khasra Nos.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Gurgaon	Nuh	Meoli	118	1.9125	23	
					4/1, 23/1	
					44	
					12/1, 17/2, 24/1	

O. K. SHARMA,
Superintending Engineer,
Rohtak Public Health Circle,
Haryana, P.W. D., Rohtak.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 12th August, 1974

No. 7036/4 Lab-73/25641.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of Executive Engineer, Tubewell Division I/Minor Irrigation Corporation Ltd., Chandigarh:

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 156 of 1971

between

SHRI YASHINDER NATH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, TUBEWELL DIVISION I-MINOR IRRIGATION CORPORATION LTD., CHANDIGARH

Present:

Nemo, for the workman.

Shri S. K. Mattoo, for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute between the claimant Shri Yashinder Nath and the management of the Executive Engineer, Tubewell Division I/Minor Irrigation Corporation Ltd., Chandigarh was referred for adjudication to this court,—vide order No. 1D-KNL/36-B-70-27056-60, dated 1st September, 1971 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Yashinder Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

Usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their pleadings. The management contested the claim of Shri Yashinder Nath mainly on the ground that he had never been in its service and as such he was not entitled to any relief against it. The following two issues arose for determination in the case:—

(1) Whether Shri Yashinder Nath concerned workman was in the service of the respondent during the period in question?

(2) If issue No. 1 is proved, whether the termination of services of Shri Yashinder Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The claimant has not turned up nor his authorised representative. On behalf of the management Shri S.K. Mattoo, Sub-Divisional Officer, Sub-Division No. 4, Yamuna Nagar has stated that the present claimant was in fact, in the service of the State Government as a Tube-well Operator and had been brought under retrenchment on 3rd October, 1968 while the Minor Irrigation Tube-well Corporation came into existence on 1st April, 1970. He has further stated that the employees who were in the service of the State Government at the relevant time i.e. the date on which the said Corporation was formed were taken over by the Corporation on the same terms and conditions of service as before.

I have gone through the record and heard the learned representative of the management. There is apparently no reason to disbelieve the statement of Shri S. K. Mattoo who is a responsible officer of the management, the services of the present claimant having been retrenched by the State Government under whom he was working much before the formation of the Minor Irrigation Tube-well Corporation under the present management, the question of his being engaged by the Corporation as had been done in respect of the employees who were actually in service on the relevant date did not arise. In any case, it was for him to prove that he had been employed by the present management. But as already observed, he has not come forward even to make his own statement in support of his claim and his authorised representative who had given the demand notice leading to the present reference has also elected not to take part in the proceedings.

In view of the above issue No. 1 is decided against Shri Yashinder Nath claimant holding that he had not been in the service of the present management during the period in question and as such no industrial dispute existed between the parties which could validly be referred for adjudication to this court. His remedy if any, was against the State Government under who he had already served and who had brought him under retrenchment.

Taking into consideration my above finding on issue No. 1, issue No. 2 does not arise for consideration for the simple and obvious reason that the claimant having never been in the service of the present management, the question of the termination of his services by this management does not arise and as such he is not entitled to any relief against this management by way of reinstatement or payment of back dues. The issue is held accordingly.

For the reason aforesaid, the reference shall stand rejected as being bad in law and without jurisdiction for want of relationship of employer and employee between the parties. There shall however, be no order as to costs. Shri Yashinder Nath claimant may seek his remedy by proceeding against his real employer, if advised.

Dated, the 26th July, 1974.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1911, dated 1st August, 1974.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 9037-4Lab-73/256421.--In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industries Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Executive Engineer, Tubewell Division I/Minor Irrigation Corporation Limited, Chandigarh.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 155 of 1971

Between

SHRI DHARAMBER SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER TUBE-WELL
DIVISION MINOR IRRIGATION CORPORATION LIMITED, CHANDIGARH

Present--

Nemo for the workman.

Shri S. K. Mattoo for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute between the claimant Shri Dharambir Singh and the Executive Engineer Tube-well Division I/Minor Irrigation Corporation Ltd., Chandigarh was referred to this court vide order No. ID/KNL/36-B-70/27048-54 dated 1st September, 1971 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Dharambir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

Usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their pleadings. The management contested the claim of Shri Dharambir Singh mainly on the ground that he had never been in its service and as such he was not entitled to any relief against it. The following two issues arose for determination in the case.

1. Whether Shri Dharambir Singh concerned workman was in the service of the respondent during the period in question?
2. If issue No. 1 is proved, whether the termination of services of Shri Dharambir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The claimant has not turned up nor his authorised representative. On behalf of the management Shri S.K. Mattoo, Sub Divisional Officer, Sub-Division No. 4, Yamuna Nagar has stated that the present claimant was, in fact, in the service of the State Government as a Tube-well Operator and had been brought under retrenchment on 15th January, 1970 while the Minor Irrigation Tube-well Corporation came into existence on 1st April, 1970. He has further stated that the employees who were in the service of the State Government at the relevant time i.e. the date on which the said Corporation was formed were taken over by the Corporation on the same terms and conditions of service as before.

I have gone through the record and heard the learned representative of the management. There is apparently no reason to disbelieve the statement of Shri S.K. Mattoo who is a responsible Officer of the management, the services of the present claimant having been retrenched by the State Government under whom he was working much before the formation of the Minor Irrigation Tube-well Corporation under the present management, the question of his being engaged by the Corporation as had been done in respect of the employees who were actually in service on the relevant date did not arise. In any case, it was for him to prove that he had been employed by the present management. But as already observed, he has not come forward even to make his own statement in support of his claim and his authorised representative who had given the demand notice leading to the present reference has also elected not to take part in the proceedings.

In view of the above issue No. 1 is decided against Shri Dharambir Singh claimant holding that he had not been in the Service of the present management during the period in question and as such no industrial dispute existed between the parties which could validly be referred for adjudication to this court. His remedy, if any, was against the State Government under whom he had allegedly served and who had brought him under retrenchment.

Taking into consideration my above finding on issue No. 1, issue No. 2 does not arise for consideration for the simple and obvious reason that the claimant having never been in the service of the present management, the question of the termination of his services by this management does not arise and as such he is not entitled to any relief against this management by way of reinstatement or payment of back dues. The issue is held accordingly.

For the reasons aforesaid, the reference shall stand rejected as being bad in law and without jurisdiction for want of relationship of employer and employee between the parties. There shall, however, be no order as to cost. Shri Dharambir Singh claimant may seek his remedy by proceeding against his real employer, if advised.

Dated 26th July, 1974

O.P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1910, dated the 1st August, 1974

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O.P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.